

# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Bect 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/087,212	02/28/2002	Frank Cistone	65608.01001	6911
34661 7	34661 7590 02/24/2005		EXAMINER	INER
CHARLES N. QUINN			SALVATORE, LYNDA	
FOX ROTHSO 2000 MARKE	CHILD LLP T STREET, 10TH FLOOR		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
	IIA, PA 19103		1771	
			DATE MAILED: 02/24/200	5

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

			LD				
		Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Summary		10/087,212	CISTONE ET AL.1				
		Examiner	Art Unit				
		Lynda M Salvatore	1771				
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication app or Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address				
THE - Exte after - If the - If NO - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Insions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. In period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period were to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing and patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be time within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days fill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status							
1)🖂	Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>03 De</u>	ecember 2004.					
2a)⊠	☐ This action is FINAL. 2b)☐ This action is non-final.						
3)	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is						
	closed in accordance with the practice under E	x parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 45	53 O.G. 213.				
Disposit	on of Claims						
4)⊠	4) Claim(s) 1-21,29,30,35-38 and 48-77 is/are pending in the application.						
	4a) Of the above claim(s) <u>1-8,11,52-54 and 59-76</u> is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
,	Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
·	Claim(s) <u>9,10,12-21,29,30,48-51,57,58</u> is/are rejected.						
•	Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8)	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.						
Applicat	ion Papers						
. —	The specification is objected to by the Examine						
10)	10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.						
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).						
11)[	The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	aminer. Note the attached Office	Action or form PTO-152.				
Priority (	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119		,				
a)	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign  All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents  2. Certified copies of the priority documents  3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior application from the International Bureau  See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the prior application.	s have been received. s have been received in Applicati rity documents have been receive u (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	on No ed in this National Stage				

Attachment(s)

2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)

3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)

Paper No(s)/Mail Date \_

4) 🗀	Interview Summary (PTO-413)
	Paper No(s)/Mail Date

5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)

6) Other: \_\_\_

Application/Control Number: 10/087,212 Page 2

Art Unit: 1771

## **DETAILED ACTION**

1. Applicant's amendment and accompanying remarks filed 12/03/04 have been fully considered and entered. Claim 12 has been amended, claims 1-8,11,52-54, and 59-76 have been withdrawn and claims 22-28,31-34 and 39-47 have been canceled as requested. Applicant's amendment to claim 12 is found sufficient to overcome the objection set forth in section 2 of the last Office Action. As such, this objection is hereby withdrawn. Applicant's amendment is not found patently distinguishable over the prior art made of record and Applicant's arguments are not found persuasive of patentability for reasons set forth herein below.

### Election/Restrictions

- 2. Applicant's further traversal Group 1 and Group III is acknowledged, but arguments are still not found persuasive. Applicant was notified in section 1 of the last Office Action that said restriction was made FINAL. If Applicant wishes to further contest said restriction requirement then Applicant should do so in the form a petition. The restriction is set forth as the following.
  - I. Claims 1-8,11,31-38,55,56 and 59-64 drawn to textured yarns, staple fibers, or single and/or multi-component yarns class 428, subclass 357+.
  - II. Claims 9,10,12-21,29,30,48-51,57 and 58 drawn to non-woven fabric classified in class, 442 subclass 327+.
  - III. Claims 22-28,52-54 and 65-77 drawn to filtration and coalescing media classified in class, 210 subclass various.

Remaining pending claims 1-8,11,35-38,52-54,55,56, and 59-77 are withdrawn from consideration as non-elected.

Art Unit: 1771

The requirement is still deemed proper and is therefore made FINAL.

# Response to Arguments

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 3. The text of those sections of Title 35, U.S. Code not included in this action can be found in a prior Office action.
- 4. Claims 9,10,12-21,29,30,48-51,57 and 58 stand rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Tamaru et al., US 6,479,143.

Applicant amended claim 12 to recite limitations presented in non-elected claim 11. Amended claim 12 is presented in independent form, however, it is not clear to the Examiner where in Applicant's remarks, the rejection of the above aforementioned claims are addressed. Applicant discusses at great lengths the advantages that the instant invention has over the prior art, but Applicant makes no specific arguments with respect to the rejected claims. Applicant's remarks lack structural organization and are not considered commensurate in scope with the instantly rejected claims. Some examples of where Applicant's remarks lack organization and/or are not commensurate in scope with the rejected claims include all of the discussion spanning pages 29 through page 34. The Examiner has considered Applicant's remarks to the extent that they pertain to the rejected claims. However, the Examiner maintains that since Applicant did not discuss the applied reference against the specific rejected claims in any specific detail, the Examiner maintains the rejections above. Applicant should submit an argument under the heading "Remarks" pointing out disagreements with the examiner's contentions and provide arguments commensurate in scope with the rejected claims (e.g., explaining how the claims avoid the reference or distinguish from them). Please note 37 CFR 1.111(b).

Art Unit: 1771

### Conclusion

5. THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Lynda M Salvatore whose telephone number is 571-272-1482. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Terrel Morris can be reached on 571-272-1482. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Application/Control Number: 10/087,212 Page 5

Art Unit: 1771

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

February 16, 2005

TERREL MORRIS SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER

TECHNOLOGY CENTER 1700